

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,031	10/16/2001	Mima Rapp	0843.0002	7920
22852 7590 09/06/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
			CARLSON, KAREN C	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/869,031	RAPP, MIRNA
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1656
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 3	37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	the statutory period of three months
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	•
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.	·	
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allow		because the period for seeking court
7. The reason(s) below:	Karen	- Cehan Carbon De
		REN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of shandonment under 27	CFR 1 181 should be promptly filed to
. The transfer of the state of	norang or avariabilitetik uliusi o/	C r. ro i, oriodia de proffipliy filea la

67/23/07

Paper 30

Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450 Tel: 571-272-4683 Fax: 571-273-0042

ū

Filed 23 July 2007

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

MIRNA RAPP
Junior Party
(Application 09/869,031),

٧.

ARMIN PRASCH
and
BERNHARD LUY
Senior Party
(Patent 6,596,318).
Patent Interference No. 105,541)
(Technology Center 1600)

Judgment - Request for Adverse - Bd. R. 127(b) 1 2 3 Before: SCHAFER, TIERNEY, and MOORE Administrative Patent Judges. 4 MOORE, Administrative Patent Judge. 5 6 Junior Party Rapp has filed a request for entry of adverse judgment (Paper 7 8 25) against its claims which correspond to the Counts. 9 Upon consideration of the request, it is ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Count 1 (Paper 1, page 4) is 10 11 awarded against Junior Party Mirna Rapp. 12 FURTHER ORDERED that Junior Party Mirna Rapp is not entitled to a